

Danish University Colleges

Patients' experiences with delirium assessments	
Svenningsen, Helle; Sørensen, Dorthe	
Publication date: 2019	
Link to publication	
Citation for pulished version (APA): Svenningsen, H., & Sørensen, D. (2019). Patients' experiences with delirium assessments.	
2019	

General rightsCopyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Download policyIf you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 28. Oct. 2020



Danish University Colleges

Patients' experiences with delirium assessments
Svenningsen, Helle; Sørensen, Dorthe
Publication date: 2019
Link to publication
Citation for pulished version (APA): Svenningsen, H., & Sørensen, D. (2019). Patients' experiences with delirium assessments.

General rightsCopyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Download policyIf you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 17. Aug. 2020

Patients' experiences with delirium assessments

Bring ideas to life VIA University College





Mrs. Helle Svenningsen RN. PhD hesv@via.dk



Mrs. Dorthe Sørensen.

Introduction

The number of delirium assessment tools has increased significantly over the past few decades and their use is recommended worldwide. Unfortunately, nurses do not often conduct delirium assessments. Patients' reservations towards delirium assessments seem to affect nursing practices with regard to screening. Addressing barriers to routine screening is important to effective implementation. A better understanding of patients' attitudes could be key to implementing routine screenings.

Conclusions

Patients appreciate that professionals are interested in their mental and physical well-being. Despite their initial scepticism, patients find delirium assessments valuable when they had better understand the assessments' purpose. This indicates that barriers related to patients' scepticism towards delirium assessments should be overcome by healthcare professionals.

"I find it important that the staff also pay attention to my mental health" (i1)

"Well, that's really silly [laughing]" (i5).

"Funny questions, but now [hindsight], I think they are really good and important questions" (i8).

"I think the questions are

strange. The one with

months is hard. It's also difficult for people who are

not confused, I guess. I do

screening is necessary]." (i8)

not understand... [why

Methods

We used a qualitative method to summarise, in everyday terms, specific events observed by researchers and experienced by patients. We performed participant observations on eight patients and nurses and conducted seven individual semi-structured faceto-face interviews at a nursing home with patients who had experienced delirium assessment using the bCAM. We carried out content analysis using an inductive approach.

"If you have a good psyche, you will heal more easily" (i5)

Results

Our findings indicate that patients approached delirium assessment with initial scepticism due to a lack of knowledge. Their scepticism changed to complete acceptance after the assessment's purpose was explained. However, some patients gave up on the assessment due to cognitive challenges, lack of energy, fatigue, or language barriers. Patients appreciated that professionals were interested in their mental and physical wellbeing. Despite initial scepticism, the patients found the delirium assessment valuable when they better understood its purpose. Thus, healthcare professionals should provide patients' with relevant information about delirium assessments.

Brief Confusion Assessment Method (bCAM), which consists of four features

- Mental state is assessed for alterations or fluctuations throughout the day. This is primarily determined through surrogate interviews or by staff with knowledge of
- primarily determined into gensuring a termined with a year and with knowledge of the patient.

 Inattention is assessed by asking the patient to recite months backwards from December to July. If the patient makes >1 error or is unable or refuses to perform
- the task, inattention is present.

 3. Altered consciousness level is determined using the Richmond Agitation-Sedation
- 4. Disorganised thinking is assessed by asking the patient to answer yes or no to fou

 - Disorganised thinking is assessed by asking the patie questions and respond to a command:

 1. Will a stone float on water?

 2. Are there fish in the sea?

 3. Does one pound weigh more than two pounds?

 4. Can you use a hammer to pound a nail?

 - "Hold up this many fingers" (staff holds up two fingers). "Now, do the same thing with the other hand" (staff does not demonstrate).

Patients are considered delirious if features 1 and 2 are present and either feature 3 or feature 4 is present.

Ref: Han JH, Wilson A, Vasilevskis EE, et al. Diagnosing Delirium in Older Emergency Department Patients: Validity and Reliability of the Delirium Triage Screen and the Brief Confusion Assessment Method. Ann Emerg Med. 2013;62(5):457

"I thought I was going to be psychoanalyzed" (i4).

"She wanted me to recite the months backwards, which I can't, and then there was a sum to do, which you can easily make a mess of..." (i2).